#### DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT Division of Housing Policy Development P.O. Box 952053 Sacramento, California 94252-2053



City of Farmersville Attn: Rene' Miller, Acting City Mgr. 909 W. Visalia Rd. Farmersville, CA 93223





DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT Division of Housing Policy Development P.O. Box 952053 Sacramento, California 94252-2053



Thank you for submitting the annual progress report on your jurisdiction's housing element for the period of

FY 05-06

The report was received by the department on

12-28-06

Submission of the annual progress report satisfies one of the eligibility requirements for the Department's Workforce Housing Reward Program.

If you have any questions or would like additional information on the Workforce Housing Reward Program, please contact us at (916) 445-4728 or visit our website at www.hcd.ca.gov/fa/whrp.

SJA

Just 12-28-06

### City of Farmersville

December 29, 2006

#### Annual Progress Report on Implementation of the Housing Element

This report is prepared for the reporting period of January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2006. In addition, some information has been provided from January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2005 to indicate progress in meeting the Fair Share Housing Allocation noted by the Tulare County Association of Governments. The report is provided to the Planning Commission and the City Council as an informational item. The adoption of this document is not mandated by law, however, "a report must be provided to the legislative body on the status of the Housing Element and progress on its implementation, including the City's progress in meeting its share of regional housing needs" (California Govt. Code Section 65400 (b) (1)).

#### A. Progress in meeting Regional Housing Need

Prior to a local agency's update to its Housing Element, the local Council of Governments is required to adopt a Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA). The RHNA uses the State calculated number of houses to be constructed within the County and divides this fair share number between the local jurisdictions. The fair share number is based upon the number of units constructed over the previous 20 years by each jurisdiction. The RHNA was adopted by the Tulare County Association of Governments (TCAG) on November 4, 2002. The local jurisdiction then updates the Housing Element of its General Plan using this fair share number. Farmersville City's adopted Housing Element (as revised in March 3, 2005) which also covers the period from January 2001 to July 2008. This report analyzes Farmersville City's progress in meeting its fair share allocation for the calendar year 2005 and provides some data for previous years to help determine progress towards meeting the overall housing goals.

The City is not required to develop the needed housing units identified in the RHNA; however, it needs to provide a sufficient amount of planned available residential land so these units could be constructed within the above timeframe. The Housing Element projected that the City has sufficient planned residential land acreage available to accommodate the projected Housing Needs provided by TCAG. Table 1, below, shows the City's fair share housing unit needs as identified in the adopted Regional Housing Needs Assessment.

mailed by city
mailed by city
mailed by city
MOUSING POLICY
DEVELOPMENT, HCD

JAN 4 2007

Table 1. City of Farmersville Regional Housing Needs Assessment January 1, 2001 to July 21, 2008

Total projected Need	Very Low Income	Low Income	Moderate Income	Above Moderate Income
376	61	54	39	221

#### 1. Total number of new housing permits issued by City of Farmersville this reporting period:

During the period from January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006, the City issued 41 new housing permits including no mobile home permits. This compares with 46 permitted units during the previous year including 1 mobile home permit. Though there has been a decline in new permits this past year it is anticipated that new home permits will increase this coming year if home mortgage interest rates remain low due to the fact that over 100 acres of land has been approved for housing development within the City limits but have not been able to start building. Table 2 presents the number of housing units the City permitted by month for the current reporting year.

Table 2. Units Permitted Per Month January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2006

Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
5	7	4	0	2	4	6	1	3	3	4	2	41

## 2. Affordability, by income level, of new units including the number of deed restricted affordable housing units:

HCD determines the income limits for Very Low Income, Low Income, Moderate Income and Above Moderate Income households. Those households which earn less then 51% of the Area Median Income (AMI) (\$50,800 in 2006) are in the Very Low Income category. Likewise, 51% to 80% of AMI is Low Income; 81% to 119% of AMI is Moderate Income; and 120% of AMI and above is Above Moderate Income. These categories are more thoroughly explained in RHNA and the Housing Element.

Housing costs are not to exceed 30% of the family's monthly income. A maximum rent or home payment is calculated for each income category. Housing units permitted within the reporting period are then classified based on the price of the building permit. Table 3 shows data from the 2006 reporting year information broken out into the categories explained above.

Table 3. Affordability Characteristics of Units Added since 2001

Reporting Year	Very Low Income	Low Income	Moderate Income	Above Moderate Income	Total Building Permits
2001	16	10			36
2002	8	2			46
2003	47	1			154
2004					79
2005	1	3			46
2006	<u>2</u>	1			41
Totals	74	17			402

Deed Restricted Units for time period: 47 (Tax credit multifamily project)

3. Compare units added to regional housing need allocation by income category:

Table 4 summarizes the City's remaining fair share needs through July 2008, calculated by subtracting the permitted housing unit totals since January 2001 (Table 3) from the "Planned Need RHNP" column.

It is estimated that private development will exceed the RHNA planned need. It appears that the City has surpassed the very low income housing goal; needs more progress on the low income goal and probably is well on the way to meeting the moderate income housing need. As housing prices increase and the resulting incomes of families need to be higher to qualify for higher mortgages, it is likely that more units will be built that only above moderate income families can qualify for.

Table 4. Remaining Regional Share of Housing January 1, 2001 to July 31, 2008

Income Group	Planned Need (RHNP)	After Year 2000 Units	2006 Annual Report Units	Remaining Fair Share Need
Very Low Income	61	73	2	-14
Low Income	54	16	1	37
Moderate Income	39	*	*	39
Above Moderate Income	221	272	38	-89
Totals	376	361	41	-27

<sup>\*</sup>We cannot break this information out of the remaining houses built. City only tracks very low and low through the CDBG program.

### B. The effectiveness of the housing element in attainment of the community's housing goals and objectives

The housing element has been used as a guide for the designation of land uses and zoning. The housing element has also been used to target the development of housing for various income groups. City has been approved projects that have served all ranges of income groups.

#### C. Progress toward mitigating governmental constraints identified in housing element

Since 2001, the City has annexed over 100 acres of land and designated the use of this land for residential development. CDBG and HOME funds have assisted targeted income groups with their housing needs. Homebuyer assistance programs have helped lower income families attain home ownership. Redevelopment funds have been targeted to assist with the rehabilitation and development of affordable housing. Currently the City is talking to an agency who would like to develop a 200+ acre site which would include multi family and multi family for seniors, single family residential for low income families intermixed with moderate and above housing.

#### ATTACHMENT D

#### WFH Annual Progress Report on Implementation of the Housing Element

General Plan Report requirement pursuant to Section 65400 of the Government Code

Jurisdiction:	City of Farmersville	
Address:	909 West Visalia Road, Farmersville, C	CA 93223
Contact:	Rene' Miller	Title: Acting City Manager
Phone:	(559) 747-0458	
Repo	ort Period: <u>01/01/06</u>	To: <u>12/31/06</u>

The following must be included in the report:

#### A. Progress in meeting Regional Housing Need

- 1. Total number of new housing permits issued
- 2. Describe the affordability, by income level, of new units including the number of deed restricted affordable housing unit.
- 3. Compare units added to regional housing need allocation by income category (very low, lower, moderate, and above moderate)

# B. The effectiveness of the housing element in attainment of the community's housing goals and objectives

- 1. Include a program-by-program status report relative to implementation schedule from each program in the housing element; describe actions taken to implement each program
- 2. Assess effectiveness of actions and outcomes

#### C. Progress toward mitigating governmental constraints identified in the housing element.